Guided Pathways Steering Committee

Meeting Notes Feb. 5, 2018

In Attendance: Sabrina Sencil, Dana Wassmer, Alex Casareno, Kim Harrell, Paolo Soriano, Iris Dimond, Tonya Williams, Joel Powell, Kathy Sorensen (presenter), Howard Lewis, Shannon Mills, Lisa Abraham (presenter), Bud Hannan, Paul Meinz, Tadael Emiru, Julie Olson, Andi Adkins Pogue, Rochelle Perez, Collin Pregliasco, Ed Bush, Shannon Cooper, Yolanda Carcia-Gomez, Kimberly McDaniel, Cory Wathen, Eddie Fagen, Colette Harris-Mathews, Amber Lopez, Rick Schubert, Oscar Mendoza Plascencia.

Note Taker: Dana Wassmer

Item	Discussion/Action Plan	Who's Responsible	Deadline
AB 705	Background-AB 705 is effective Jan. 1, 2018.	None	None
Multiple	Math faculty workgroup met last fall to create an		
Measure for	implementation plan to be initiated Spring 2018.		
Math	Reviewed the current math course offerings		
	 MATH 20 is the lowest level math course 		
	offered.		
	We need to question if this should be a		
	credit-bearing course.		
	 Students enrolled are primarily older adults 		
	who have not had math in a very long time.		
	The District and colleges are looking at technology		
	available to assist with math placement.		
	ALEKS PPL by McGraw-Hill was reviewed		
	https://www.aleks.com/highered/ppl		
	 Cost is ~\$15/student (can take the assessment 		
	test up to 5 times for this price).		
	 Only proctored exam counts (student can take 		
	a practiced/un-proctored exam).		
	 (FYI, ACCUPLACER is ~\$1/exam 		
	https://www.accuplacer.org)		
	Although more expensive, what is the cost for the		
	College and the student if the student is misplaced?		
	Co-requisite/supplemental instruction is being		
	reviewed.		
	Investigate 3 branches of math: STEM path, Non-		
	STEM path (e.g., STAT), and quantitative reasoning		
	path.		
Questions/	• What is the implication of AB 705 for non-STEM focus?	None	None

Concerns	(i.e., how will other STAT [MATH 110 C-ID equivalent]						
	courses be	e integrated? Via prog					
		t success goes up—wi					
		nts' course needs?					
	When we	place students—how					
	that it is e	quitable?					
English	The Englis	h faculty across the Di	strict have worked	None	None		
Multiple	diligently	and collaboratively to	devise the best				
Measures	placemen	t for our students.					
	Placement	t utilizes multiple mea					
	GPA and A	Accuplacer score.					
	Studer	nt is placed based on v	hichever assessment				
	yields						
	o Accup						
	replac						
	choice placement assessment test).						
	CRC is work	rking to eliminate thre	e levels below college.				
	DRAFT-CRC C	omposition Sequence	-DRAFT Fall 2018				
		Spring 2018					
	Transfer	480—Honors	480—Honors				
	level	3 units	3 units				
		300	300				
		3 units	3 units				
	One level	WR 109/ RD 113	108 + 300A				
	below	4.5 units	3 units + 3 units				
		101	101				
	Two levels	4 units 58	4 units 58				
	below	4 units	4 units				
	Three levels	42	DELETE				
	below	3 units					
		above represents pro					
	CRC, Fall 2	2018.					
		e number of sections o					
	108 + "300						
	sections/e						
	At SCC, st						
	success rate without any equity gap compared to 101.						

DRAFT- Disjunctive (MMAP) Placement for Accuplacer Writing Courses-DRAFT

Students should receive placements based on the *higher* of their Accuplacer scores or their USA high school GPAs.

	THE HIGHES		
	Accuplacer Score (RC + SS)/2	USA High School GPA	Course Placement
Honors	106-120	GPA >= 3.2*	ENGWR 480*
Transfer level	79-120	GPA >=3.0	ENGWR 300
One level below	52-78	GPA 2.3-2.99	ENGWR 300 + 108 ENGWR 101
Two levels below	0-51	GPA <= 2.3 or no USA GPA	ENGWR 58

^{*}One possibility that's being suggested

- CRC has seen levels of success consistent with past levels in students with HS cumulative GPA of 2.6 and placed in ENGWR 300 courses. However, 3.0 was set for the district and it is the criteria used by CSU.
- Current data will not allow us to separate those who are 2.6 in the 2.3-2.99 group to further assess this.
- GPA is not the only criteria being used.

DRAFT-Disjunctive (MMAP) Placement for Accuplacer Reading Courses-DRAFT

Students should receive placements based on the *higher* of their Accuplacer scores or their USA high school GPAs.

	THE HIGHEST OF			
	Accuplacer	USA High	Course	
	Score	School	Placement	
	(RC + SS)/2	GPA		
	>84	>=3.2*	Competency	
			met	
Transfer level	nsfer level 79-120 GPA >=		ENGRD 310	
One level	52 – 78	GPA 2.3-2.99	ENGRD 311**	
below			ENGRD 110	
Two levels	0-51	GPA <= 2.3	ENGWR	
below		Or no USA	58***	
		GPA		

^{*}Suggested, but not yet agreed to by all colleges

^{**}This is an accelerated Reading course being proposed for Fall 18. Its prerequisite will be ENGWR 58.

^{***}This is an integrated Reading and Writing course, so an Accuplacer score of 0-51 will place a student into this class.

	I -		1	uences for I				
	Level	GPA	ARC	CRC	FLC	SCC		
	Transfer level	>3.0	300	300	300	300		
	One level below	2.3-2.99	94 + 300A	108 + 300A 101	33 + 300A 101	108 + 300A 101		
	Two levels below	<2.3	50	58	51 46	51		
Questions/ Concerns	 We will continue to place students as we go through this process. There are many unknown factors involved to estimate how many students we may need to accommodate The equity gap also exists in high schools and is carried over to college. English faculty wants to be at the table when GP works on template/curriculum/GE. Please contact Lisa and she will send a representative. Likewise, Math faculty will want to be at the table. 					All – when working on curriculum, program template, GE—please contact ENG or MATH faculty for input	As needed.	
Questions/ Concerns about AB 705	 How will we coordinate AB 705 with the onboarding/MM/Program Template? Work of Entering the Path Workgroup Consider combining this with FYE and AB 19 Can we block enroll 1,000 or more seats in math and English to ensure our students will be guaranteed the classes they need? CCCApply will change to incorporate AB 705 Concern with the high school GPA (3.0 vs. 2.0 or 2.6) when we say that 2.0 is passing. Cumulative high school GPA is only one of the criteria/measure used in assessment/placement of students. Course grade and the actual MATH and ENG course students take in high school (i.e., 11th and 12th grade) could be considered. CRC will continue with the pilot. Summer experience can be utilized to help students (e.g., boot camp; review/re-take) prepare for the placement test. 				None	None		

- Do we have the capacity to have students take pretest/practice placement test?
- Concern regarding the huge onboarding process where the registration date is too early and can cause a problem with placement.
- Assessment test is just an advisory piece.
- If the model with English (with a co-req) is successful, can this be scaled to other disciplines?
- Students who test into 300 can take a lower level by choice. (This option is still allowed.)
- What types of academic support services are required? What can be institutionalized? How can we make these intentional?
- Where will we find the resources necessary to provide all the services?

Next meeting:

Guided Pathways Steering Committee, Monday, Feb. 12, 2018, 3:00-4:30 p.m., 106 Winn Center. Please check your email for any update.

Agenda topic: How will we define what determines a student is on a guided pathway?

Agenda Items for Future Steering Committee Meetings:

✓ Follow-up on MM/District alignment