Cultural Competence and Equity – DiverseAbility Survey Summary of Survey Results Prepared by CRC Research Office

- Online survey administered: March 28-April 8, 2016
- Survey demographics:
 - o 469 responses were collected
 - 98 (20.9%), employees
 - 371 (79.1%), students
 - Almost a third (32.6%) identified as a person with a disability (153 out of 469)
 - 16.3% of employees and 36.9% of students identified as a person with a disability

Respondents who have a disability

- Respondents were asked to describe what actions (behaviors or language) from other people make them feel included or valued. Of the 153 respondents who identified as a person with a disability, 72 provided comments.
 - o The following were common themes from both students and employees:
 - Being treated equally, no different than others.
 - Making the students feel comfortable requesting/receiving accommodations/help from professors and students.
 - Other respondents shared that their disability is not "visible," and often choose not to disclose their disability to avoid being seen or treated differently.
- Respondents were then asked how frequently they experienced these actions on campus. Half (40 out of 80) indicated they experienced these actions on campus "regularly."
- Respondents were also asked to describe what stereotypes/assumptions about being a person with
 a disability frustrate them the most and why. Of the 153 respondents who identified as a person
 with a disability, 73 provided comments.
 - o The following were common themes from both students and employees:
 - Having a disability often gets associated with being less intelligent, "not as smart."
 "Assumption that disability means slow, stupid, etc."
 - "If the disability is invisible, there isn't one," because their disability is not physically visible, others assume they are lazy, liars, and abusing the system.
 - Their disability means that they are not able to do anything for themselves.
 - When professors do not accept accommodations, or they treat the students differently in the classroom, it causes embarrassment.
- Slightly more than a third (34.6%, 28 out of 81) indicated that they experienced these assumptions/stereotypes "regularly" on campus.

Respondents who do not have a disability

- When asked to identify their level of familiarity with persons who have a disability, 17.2% responded they did not interact with anyone who has a disability. Students were more likely than employees to indicate they did not interact with anyone who has a disability (21.5% vs. 5.4%).
- Of the 82.8% who interact with a person who has a disability, the most frequently identified familiarity was through their working relationships (i.e., classmate or co-worker), representing more than half of the 274 respondents (56.9%).

- Employees were more likely to indicate they know a person with a disability through their working relationships (81.1% vs. 48%).
- Respondents who were identified as having familiarity with persons who have disabilities were more likely to "agree" that they were comfortable interacting with individuals who have disabilities (93.4% vs. 72.3%).

Understanding the myths and assumptions

- The majority (>50%) of the respondents incorrectly agreed that this statement was true:
 - People with disabilities are brave, courageous, and inspirational for living with their disability...
 - 68.9% of the respondents agreed; respondents who know/interact with someone who has a disability were more likely than respondents who did not to agree with this statement (71.2% vs. 57.4%).

For more details, see frequency tables on pages 4-6.

All respondents

At the close of the survey, all respondents were asked to provide four words that they associate with the word disability.

- 351 respondents answered this questions, providing 1,165 words they associated with "disability."
- The words were then grouped into 12 categories:
 - o Negative trait, (19.1%)
 - o "Hero-ism", (14.8%)
 - o Support/needs, (11.9%)
 - Neutral trait, (10.9%)
 - o Positive traits, (9.7%)
 - Physical disability, (8.1%)
 - Negative treatment, (5.8%)
 - o Mental health related, (4.1%)
 - Learning disability, (2.2%)
 - o Positive treatment, (1.9%)
 - o Family, (0.8%)
 - o Other, (10.8%)
- Below are some notable differences between respondents:
 - Employees were more likely than students to associate "disability" with words under the following categories: positive traits and negative treatment.
 - Students were more likely than employees to associate "disability" with words related to physical disability and mental health.
 - Respondents who were identified as knowing/interacting with people who have disabilities
 were more likely than the respondents who identified as having a disability and respondents
 who did not interact with anyone with a disability to associate disability with words related
 to "hero-ism," positive traits, support/needs.
 - Respondents who identified as having a disability were more likely to associate "disability"
 with words categorized as negative traits, negative treatment, and learning disability. On the

- other hand, they were less likely to associate "disability" with words categorized as "hero-ism," positive traits, and support/needs.
- Respondents who identified as not knowing/interacting with anyone who has a disability
 were more likely than other respondents to associate "disability" with words categorized as
 physical disability and mental health related.

Additionally, respondents were asked to indicate their agreement with statements that individuals with disabilities are supported and welcomed at Cosumnes River College and in society.

- 75.3% of respondents agreed with the statement, "Individuals with disabilities are supported and welcomed at Cosumnes River College." However, less than half (40.3%) agreed with the statement, "Individuals with disabilities are welcomed in general in society."
- There were noticeable differences by campus member type (student/employee) and by familiarity with persons who have disabilities.
 - o Employees were less likely than students to agree with either statement (69.9% vs. 77.2% and 14.5% vs. 49.6%, respectively).
 - Respondents who identified as a person with a disability were less likely than the other respondents to agree with either statement:
 - % Agree Welcomed and supported at CRC:
 - 68.4%, Respondents with a disability
 - 77.8%, Respondents who do not interact/know anyone with a disability
 - 77.5%, Respondents who know/interact with someone with a disability
 - % Agree Welcomed and supported in society:
 - 33.3%, Respondents with a disability
 - 44.4%, Respondents who do not interact/know anyone with a disability
 - 42.0%, Respondents who know/interact with someone with a disability

		Agree	Disagree	Don't know	Total N
	Does not interact/known anyone	J	J		
	with a disability	72.3%	12.8%	14.9%	50
I am comfortable interacting with	Knows/Interacts with someone who				
individuals who have disabilities.	has a disability	93.4%	1.8%	4.8%	228
	Total	89.8%	3.6%	6.5%	278
	Does not interact/known anyone				
Wheelchair use is confining;	with a disability	25.5%	31.9%	42.6%	50
people who use wheelchairs are	Knows/Interacts with someone who				
"wheelchair-bound."	has a disability	14.5%	51.1%	34.4%	227
	Total	16.4%	47.8%	35.8%	277
	Does not interact/known anyone				
1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	with a disability	10.6%	85.1%	4.3%	50
Individuals with disabilities are not	Knows/Interacts with someone who				
meant for college.	has a disability	0.9%	97.8%	1.3%	229
	Total	2.5%	95.7%	1.8%	279
	Does not interact/known anyone				
International desiration of the second	with a disability	50.0%	32.6%	17.4%	49
It's appropriate for children to ask	Knows/Interacts with someone who				
people about their disabilities.	has a disability	52.6%	19.3%	28.1%	228
	Total	52.2%	21.5%	26.3%	277
	Does not interact/known anyone				
Individuals with learning	with a disability	8.5%	76.6%	14.9%	50
disabilities are lazy and "working	Knows/Interacts with someone who				
the system."	has a disability	0.9%	92.6%	6.6%	229
	Total	2.2%	89.9%	8.0%	279
	Does not interact/known anyone				
Daniela saide disabilità a da said	with a disability	63.8%	19.1%	17.0%	50
People with disabilities do not	Knows/Interacts with someone who				
always need help.	has a disability	66.7%	20.6%	12.7%	228
	Total	66.2%	20.4%	13.5%	278
	Does not interact/known anyone				
People with disabilities are brave,	with a disability	57.4%	25.5%	17.0%	50
courageous, and inspirational for	Knows/Interacts with someone who				
living with their disability.	has a disability	71.2%	8.4%	20.4%	226
	Total	68.9%	11.4%	19.8%	276
	Does not interact/known anyone				
The lives of people with disabilities	with a disability	38.3%	34.0%	27.7%	50
are totally different than the lives	Knows/Interacts with someone who				
of people without disabilities.	has a disability	35.7%	46.3%	18.1%	227
	Total	36.1%	44.2%	19.7%	277
Doople with montal disabilities	Does not interact/known anyone				
People with mental disabilities are	with a disability	19.1%	61.7%	19.1%	50
a menace to others, to themselves, and to society.	Knows/Interacts with someone who				
and to society.	has a disability	1.3%	86.9%	11.8%	229

		Agree	Disagree	Don't know	Total N
	Total	4.3%	82.6%	13.0%	279
	Does not interact/known anyone with a disability	38.3%	34.0%	27.7%	50
People with disabilities are special and should be treated accordingly.	Knows/Interacts with someone who has a disability	31.1%	39.5%	29.4%	228
	Total	32.4%	38.5%	29.1%	278
People with disabilities are capable	Does not interact/known anyone with a disability	48.9%	25.5%	25.5%	50
of fully participating in all aspects of life.	Knows/Interacts with someone who has a disability	66.8%	16.2%	17.0%	229
	Total	63.8%	17.8%	18.5%	279

		Agree	Disagree	Don't know	Total N
	Does not interact/known anyone with a disability	77.8%	5.6%	16.7%	36
Individuals with disabilities are supported and welcomed at	Knows/Interacts with someone who has a disability	77.5%	2.5%	20.0%	200
Cosumnes River College.	Respondent with a disability	68.4%	15.8%	15.8%	76
	Total	75.3%	6.1%	18.6%	312
	Does not interact/known anyone with a disability	44.4%	30.6%	25.0%	36
Individuals with disabilities are supported and welcomed in	Knows/Interacts with someone who has a disability	42.0%	38.5%	19.5%	200
general in society.	Respondent with a disability	33.3%	47.2%	19.4%	72
	Total	40.3%	39.6%	20.1%	308

		Agree	Disagree	Don't know	Total N
	Employee	90.7%	1.3%	8.0%	75
I am comfortable interacting with individuals who have disabilities.	Student	89.7%	4.4%	5.9%	203
individuals who have disabilities.	Total	89.9%	3.6%	6.5%	278
Wheelchair use is confining; people	Employee	16.2%	63.5%	20.3%	74
who use wheelchairs are	Student	16.3%	42.4%	41.4%	203
"wheelchair-bound."	Total	16.2%	48.0%	35.7%	277
	Employee	0.0%	98.7%	1.3%	75
Individuals with disabilities are not meant for college.	Student	3.4%	94.1%	2.5%	204
meant for conege.	Total	2.5%	95.3%	2.2%	279
Itle consumulate for children to call	Employee	67.6%	10.8%	21.6%	74
It's appropriate for children to ask people about their disabilities.	Student	46.3%	25.1%	28.6%	203
people about their disabilities.	Total	52.0%	21.3%	26.7%	277

	Employee	0.0%	97.3%	2.7%	75
Individuals with learning disabilities are lazy and "working the system."	Student	2.9%	86.8%	10.3%	204
are lazy and working the system.	Total	2.2%	89.6%	8.2%	279
Decade with dischilities de not	Employee	78.7%	16.0%	5.3%	75
People with disabilities do not always need help.	Student	62.1%	21.7%	16.3%	203
always fleed flerp.	Total	66.5%	20.1%	13.3%	278
People with disabilities are brave,	Employee	54.1%	17.6%	28.4%	74
courageous, and inspirational for	Student	74.3%	9.4%	16.3%	202
living with their disability.	Total	68.8%	11.6%	19.6%	276
The lives of people with disabilities	Employee	25.3%	56.0%	18.7%	75
are totally different than the lives of	Student	39.6%	39.1%	21.3%	202
people without disabilities.	Total	35.7%	43.7%	20.6%	277
People with mental disabilities are a	Employee	0.0%	90.7%	9.3%	75
menace to others, to themselves,	Student	5.9%	79.4%	14.7%	204
and to society.	Total	4.3%	82.4%	13.3%	279
Decade with disabilities are assist	Employee	22.7%	54.7%	22.7%	75
People with disabilities are special and should be treated accordingly.	Student	35.5%	32.5%	32.0%	203
and should be treated accordingly.	Total	32.0%	38.5%	29.5%	278
People with disabilities are capable	Employee	70.7%	10.7%	18.7%	75
of fully participating in all aspects of	Student	60.8%	20.1%	19.1%	204
life.	Total	63.4%	17.6%	19.0%	279

		Agree	Disagree	Don't know	Total N
Individuals with disabilities are	Employee	69.9%	10.8%	19.3%	83
supported and welcomed at	Student	77.2%	4.3%	18.5%	232
Cosumnes River College.	Total	75.2%	6.0%	18.7%	315
Individuals with disabilities are	Employee	14.5%	63.9%	21.7%	83
supported and welcomed in general	Student	49.6%	30.7%	19.7%	228
in society.	Total	40.2%	39.5%	20.3%	311

Category	Employees	Students	Total
Negative trait	18.6%	19.2%	19.1%
Hero-ism	15.8%	14.4%	14.8%
Support/needs	12.9%	11.6%	11.9%
Neutral trait	11.3%	10.8%	10.9%
Positive traits	12.9%	8.5%	9.7%
Physical disability	5.5%	9.0%	8.1%
Negative treatment	7.4%	5.2%	5.8%
Mental health related	0.6%	5.4%	4.1%
Learning disability	1.6%	2.5%	2.2%
Positive treatment	1.0%	2.2%	1.9%
Family	0.6%	0.8%	0.8%
Other	11.9%	10.4%	10.8%
Grand Total	311	854	1165

Category	Does not interact/know anyone with a disability	Knows/Interacts with someone who has a disability	Respondent with a disability	Total
Negative trait	16.5%	15.9%	28.5%	19.0%
Hero-ism	9.4%	19.8%	4.2%	14.8%
Support/needs	11.8%	13.0%	9.5%	12.0%
Neutral trait	8.7%	11.8%	9.2%	10.8%
Positive traits	4.7%	12.9%	3.5%	9.7%
Physical disability	19.7%	6.2%	8.1%	8.1%
Negative treatment	3.1%	4.4%	9.9%	5.6%
Mental health related	8.7%	1.9%	8.1%	4.2%
Learning disability	2.4%	1.3%	4.6%	2.3%
Positive treatment	1.6%	1.9%	2.1%	1.9%
Family	1.6%	0.9%	0.0%	0.8%
Other	11.8%	9.9%	12.3%	10.7%
Grand Total	127	284	744	1155*

^{*}Several respondents are not reflected in the frequency by familiarity because their familiarity could not be determined.